

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/791,728	03/04/2004	Chin Ju Liu	3107-152	5315
7590 06/22/2005			EXAMINER	
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			OMGBA, ESSAMA	
Suite 1404		•		
5205 Leesburg Pike			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Falls Church, VA 22041			3726	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	9/1	
) IU		
ce address		
ed timely. f this communication. (3).		
to the merits is		
i(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). m PTO-152.		
_ ional Stage		

		·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/791,728	LIU, CHIN JU			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Essama Omgba	3726			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the more departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. Form the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdsee the attached detailed Office action for a least section.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ tr No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/791,728

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The subject matter of this application necessitates illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what portion of the cylinder is heated. Applicant claims that portion to be a recessed flat, a straight groove or an annular groove. It is not clear how a groove is heated to melt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/791,728 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 7, as best understood by the examiner, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Slater et al. (US 2002/0172471A1).

Slater et al. discloses a finished sleeve made of stainless steel having a tolerance of a micron or so, see paragraphs, 3, 4 and 19. Applicant should note that "even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of the product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See MPEP § 2113.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2 and 4-6, as best understood by the examiner, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slater et al. in view of Keller et al. (US Patent 4,761,191).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,728

Art Unit: 3726

With regards to claim 1, Slater et al. discloses a finished sleeve made of stainless steel having a tolerance of a micron or so, see paragraphs, 3, 4 and 19. Although Slater et al. does not disclose how the sleeve is made, however it is known to form precision sized openings in hardened steel workpieces by drilling nominal size openings in the workpieces, press-fitting sizing plugs formed from a material having a strength greater than that of the steel body during a predetermined range of temperatures in the openings, heat treating the body and removing the plugs thereby resulting in openings with a size that is uniform within desired tolerance ranges, see column 12, lines 3-30. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have manufactured the sleeve of Slater et al. in the manner taught by Keller et al., in order to produce precision sized openings within desired tolerance ranges. Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to use the proper implement to heat the workpiece.

For claim 2, see column 4, lines 34-38 of Keller et al.

For claims 4-6, Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to heat the appropriate portion of the sleeve with the desired design.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,728

Art Unit: 3726

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3726

Essama Ömgba Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726 Page 6

eo June 20, 2005